

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 10 2008

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GLEN M. LITTLE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

JOSEPH O. DAGGY; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 07-35473

D.C. No. CV-06-05632-RBL

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Washington  
Ronald B. Leighton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 7, 2008 \*\*

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, SILVERMAN and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Glen M. Little appeals pro se the district court's denial of his application to proceed in forma pauperis. Appellant sought to file a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint in forma pauperis against his former attorney regarding his 1984 criminal case, and against another former attorney, a bankruptcy judge, and a district court judge

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-35473

regarding his 1986 bankruptcy case. The district court concluded that appellant's complaint was frivolous and denied the application to proceed in forma pauperis.

We review the denial of leave to proceed in forma pauperis for abuse of discretion. *See O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990). A district court may deny in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit. *See Tripathi v. First Nat. Bank & Trust*, 821 F.2d 1368, 1370 (9th Cir. 1987). We conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's denial of appellant's application to proceed in forma pauperis.

**AFFIRMED.**